

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP
Tobias S. Keller (#151445)
(tkeller@kbkllp.com)
Peter J. Benvenutti (#60566)
(pbenvenutti@kbkllp.com)
Jane Kim (#298192)
(jkim@kbkllp.com)
650 California Street, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94108
Tel: 415 496 6723
Fax: 650 636 9251

Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

In re:

PG&E CORPORATION.

- and -

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY.

Debtors.

- Affects PG&E Corporation
- Affects Pacific Gas and Electric Company
- Affects both Debtors

** All papers shall be filed in the Lead Case, No. 19-30088 (DM).*

Bankruptcy Case No. 19-30088 (DM)

Chapter 11

(Lead Case) (Jointly Administered)

**REORGANIZED DEBTORS' THIRTY-NINTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
(CUSTOMER NO LIABILITY CLAIMS)**

Response Deadline:
December 1, 2020, 4:00 p.m. (PT)

Hearing Information If Timely Response Made:

Date: December 15, 2020

Date: December 15, 2020
Time: 10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)

Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)
United States Bankruptcy Court
Courtroom 17, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94102

1 TO: (A) THE HONORABLE DENNIS MONTALI, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY
2 JUDGE; (B) THE OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE; (C) THE AFFECTED
2 CLAIMANTS; AND (D) OTHER PARTIES ENTITLED TO NOTICE:

3 PG&E Corporation (“**PG&E Corp.**”) and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (the “**Utility**”), as
4 debtors and reorganized debtors (collectively, “**PG&E**” or the “**Debtors**” or as reorganized pursuant to
5 the Plan (as defined below), the “**Reorganized Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the
6 “**Chapter 11 Cases**”) hereby submit this thirty-ninth omnibus objection (the “**Objection**”) to the Proofs
7 of Claim (as defined below) identified in the column headed “Claims To Be Disallowed and Expunged”
8 on Exhibit 1 annexed hereto.

9 **I. JURISDICTION**

10 This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; the *Order*
11 *Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.); and
12 Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern
13 District of California (the “**Bankruptcy Local Rules**”). This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28
14 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The
15 statutory predicates for the relief requested are section 502 of Title 11 of the United States Code (the
16 “**Bankruptcy Code**”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (collectively, the
17 “**Bankruptcy Rules**”).

18 **II. BACKGROUND**

19 On January 29, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), the Debtors commenced with the Court voluntary
20 cases under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Prior to the Effective Date (as defined below), the
21 Debtors continued to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession
22 pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner was appointed
23 in either of the Chapter 11 Cases. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered for procedural
24 purposes only pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

25 Additional information regarding the circumstances leading to the commencement of the Chapter
26 11 Cases and information regarding the Debtors’ businesses and capital structure is set forth in the
27 *Amended Declaration of Jason P. Wells in Support of the First Day Motions and Related Relief* [Docket
28 No. 263].

1 On July 1, 2019, the Court entered the *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 502(b)(9) and 105(a),*
2 *Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002, 3003(c)(3), 5005, and 9007, and L.B.R. 3003-1 (I) Establishing Deadline for*
3 *Filing Proofs of Claim, (II) Establishing the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, and (III) Approving*
4 *Procedures for Providing Notice of Bar Date and Other Information to All Creditors and Potential*
5 *Creditors* [Docket No. 2806] (the “**Bar Date Order**”). The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file all
6 proofs of claim (each, a “**Proof of Claim**”) in respect of any prepetition claim (as defined in section
7 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code), including all claims of Fire Claimants (as defined therein), Wildfire
8 Subrogation Claimants (as defined therein), Governmental Units (as defined in section 101(27) of the
9 Bankruptcy Code), and Customers, and for the avoidance of doubt, including all secured claims and
10 priority claims, against either of the Debtors as October 21, 2019 at 5:00 p.m. Pacific Time (the “**Bar**
11 **Date**”). The Bar Date later was extended solely with respect to unfiled, non-governmental Fire
12 Claimants to December 31, 2019 [Docket No. 4672]¹; and subsequently with respect to certain claimants
13 that purchased or acquired the Debtors’ publicly held debt and equity securities and may have claims
14 against the Debtors for rescission or damages to April 16, 2020 [Docket No. 5943].

15 Pursuant to Paragraph 3(o) of the Bar Date Order, any Customer whose claim was limited
16 exclusively to ordinary and customary refunds, overpayments, billing credits, deposits, or similar billing
17 items was not required to file a Proof of Claim.

18 By Order dated June 20, 2020 [Dkt. No. 8053], the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the *Debtors’*
19 *and Shareholder Proponents’ Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization Dated June 19, 2020* (as may be
20 further modified, amended or supplemented from time to time, and together with any exhibits or
21 scheduled thereto, the “**Plan**”). The Effective Date of the Plan occurred on July 1, 2020 (the “**Effective**
22 **Date**”). See Dkt. No. 8252.

23 **III. RELIEF REQUESTED**

24 The Reorganized Debtors file this Objection, pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code,
25 Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(6), Bankruptcy Local Rule 3007-1, and the *Order Approving (A) Procedures*
26 *for Filing Omnibus Objections to Claims and (B) the Form and Manner of the Notice of Omnibus*

27 ¹ The claims of Fire Claimants will be administered through the Fire Victim Trust and the claims of
28 Wildfire Subrogation Claimants through the Subrogation Wildfire Trust in accordance with the Plan.

1 *Objections*, dated June 30, 2020 [Docket No. 8228] (the “**Omnibus Objections Procedures Order**”),
2 seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging Proofs of Claim filed by certain of the Reorganized
3 Debtors’ Customers that do not state a basis for the Claim (the “**Customer No Liability Claims**”). The
4 Customer No Liability Claims are identified on **Exhibit 1**, in the columns headed “Claims To Be
5 Disallowed and Expunged.”

6 As the Court is aware, in connection with the Bar Date Order, the Debtors served specially
7 tailored and customized notices of the Bar Date (the “**Customer Bar Date Notice**”) together with Proof
8 of Claim forms on all of the Utility’s nearly 6.2 million customers. *See* Dkt. No. 4687. The simpler
9 Customer Bar Date Notice made clear that Customers were not required to file Proofs of Claim for
10 ordinary and customary refunds, overpayments, billing credits, deposits, or similar billing items.

11 Each of the Customer No Liability Claims was filed by a Customer of the Utility, however, after
12 reviewing their books and records and the limited information submitted with the Proofs of Claim, the
13 Reorganized Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted Claims. As set forth
14 in the McWilliams Declaration, Part 2, Question 8 of the Court-approved Proof of Claim form asks
15 “What is the basis of the claim?” It lists several examples, and instructs Claimants to attach documents
16 supporting the Claim as required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c). In most cases, the Customer No Liability
17 Claims do not provide an answer to this question, nor do they attach any relevant supporting
18 documentation. In some cases, the Customer No Liability Claims provide an answer, but it is one of the
19 examples provided (*e.g.*, “good[s] sold”) without additional explanation or relevant supporting
20 documentation. In other cases, the answer is filled out but does not provide a basis for the Reorganized
21 Debtors to analyze liability (*e.g.*, “?”, “don’t know,” “PGE bankruptcy,” or “personal loss”). In these
22 cases as well, no relevant supporting documentation was provided.

23 The Reorganized Debtors personnel conducted a rigorous review of their records to attempt to
24 determine any basis under which the Reorganized Debtors might be liable for the Customer No Liability
25 Claims. First, the Reorganized Debtors’ Billing Operations Department established that all Claimants
26 were current or former Customers of the Utility and confirmed that there were no outstanding prepetition
27 billing issues relating to these Customers. Second, the Reorganized Debtors’ Customer Fund
28 Management and Customer Energy Solutions Program Operations Departments cross-checked these

1 Claims against records maintained with respect to non-energy billing, security deposits, rebates, and
2 refunds and confirmed that they did not correspond to known prepetition claims. Third, the Reorganized
3 Debtors' Customer Relations Department cross-checked the Claims against complaints made to the
4 California Public Utilities Commission. Fewer than a dozen Claims matched, and these have been
5 removed and are not the subject of this Objection. Finally, the Reorganized Debtors and their
6 professionals checked Claimants' names against parties with known litigation claims, Fire Victim
7 Claims, and other property damage claims. Any matches have been excluded and are not the subject of
8 this Objection.

9 The Debtors conducted a large-scale public outreach campaign in December 2019. As part of
10 this campaign, the Debtors sent targeted letters to Claimants, requesting more information regarding
11 their Proofs of Claim. A true and correct copy of this letter is attached as Exhibit A to the McWilliams
12 Declaration. Nearly all of the approximately 1,800 Customer No Liability Claims included on this
13 objection were included in that campaign.

14 Accordingly, for the reasons set forth herein, the Customer No Liability Claims should be
15 disallowed and expunged. In accordance with the Bar Date Order, to the extent it is later determined
16 that any of the Customer No Liability Claims represent Customer claims limited exclusively to ordinary
17 and customary refunds, overpayments, billing credits, deposits, or similar billing items such matters will
18 be resolved in the ordinary course, and the disallowance and expungement of Customer No Liability
19 Claims as requested here will not preclude such ordinary course customer claims.

20 **IV. ARGUMENT**

21 **A. The Customer No Liability Claims Should Be Disallowed and 22 Expunged**

23 Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(6) states that a debtor may object on an omnibus basis to claims that
24 "were presented in a form that does not comply with applicable rules, and the objection states that the
25 objector is unable to determine the validity of the claim because of the noncompliance." Fed. R. Bankr.
26 P. 3007(d)(6). The Omnibus Objections Procedures Order supplemented Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d) to
27 permit the Reorganized Debtors to file objections to more than one claim if "[t]he claims do not comply
28 with the requirements for a proof of claim in that they lack required information or documents necessary
to establish prima facie evidence of the claims' validity, the Debtors have no other information to support

1 the claims' validity, and the Debtors deny liability on that basis." Omnibus Objections Procedures Order,
2 ¶2(C)(iv). The Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have reviewed their books and records and
3 each of the Customer No Liability Claims identified on Exhibit 1 and have determined that the
4 Reorganized Debtors are unable to determine any liability or basis for the asserted Claims and/or they
5 do not provide sufficient information or supporting documents to establish *prima facie* (or any) evidence
6 of their validity. This Court previously has ruled against debtors arguing that a claim providing
7 insufficient documentation was in and of itself subject to disallowance. *See Heath v. Am. Express Travel*
8 *Related Servs. Co. (In re Heath)*, 331 B.R. 424 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2005) (Montali, J.); *Campbell v. Verizon*
9 *Wireless S-CA (In re Campbell)*, 336 B.R. 430 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2005) (Montali, J.). Rather than simply
10 arguing that the Customer No Liability Claims are subject to disallowance because they do not provide
11 any evidentiary support, the Reorganized Debtors and their professionals have conducted the detailed
12 analysis described above to attempt to discern the basis on which the Customer No Liability Claims
13 assert liability, to no avail. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors have no choice but to deny liability
14 with respect to the Customer No Liability Claims because there is no additional research that can be
15 conducted that might yield a basis for liability.

16 Each of the Claimants is listed alphabetically, and the claim number and amount are identified
17 in accordance with Bankruptcy Rule 3007(e). Furthermore, in accordance with the Omnibus Objections
18 Procedures Order, the Reorganized Debtors have sent individualized notices to the holders of each of
19 the Customer No Liability Claims.

20 **B. The Claimants Bear the Burden of Proof**

21 A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest . . . objects." 11 U.S.C.
22 § 502(a).² Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code, however, provides in relevant part that a claim
23 may not be allowed if "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under
24 any agreement or applicable law." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). Once the objector raises "facts tending to
25 defeat the claim by probative force equal to that of the allegations of the proofs of claim themselves,"

26 ² Under Section 7.1 of the Plan, the Reorganized Debtors have until 180 days after the Plan's Effective
27 Date to bring objections to claims, which deadline may be extended by the Court. On October 27,
2020, the Reorganized Debtors filed the *Motion for Entry of an Order Extending Deadline for the*
28 *Reorganized Debtors to Object to Claims* [Docket No. 9355], which seeks to extend this deadline 180
days, to June 26, 2021.

1 *Wright v. Holm (In re Holm)*, 931 F.2d 620, 623 (9th Cir. 1991), quoting 3 L. King, *Collier on*
2 *Bankruptcy* § 502.02 at 502-22 (15th ed. 1991), then “the burden reverts to the claimant to prove the
3 validity of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence,” *Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage*
4 (*In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortgage*) 178 B.R. 222, 226 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1995) (quoting *In re*
5 *Allegheny Int'l, Inc.*, 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992)), *aff'd without opinion* 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir.
6 1996). “[T]he ultimate burden of persuasion is always on the claimant.” *Holm*, 931 F.2d at 623 (quoting
7 King, *Collier on Bankruptcy*); *see also Lundell v. Anchor Constr. Specialists, Inc.*, 223 F.3d 1035, 1039
8 (9th Cir. 2000), *Spencer v. Pugh (In re Pugh)*, 157 B.R. 898, 901 (BAP 9th Cir. 1993); *In re Fidelity*
9 *Holding Co.*, 837 F.2d 696, 698 (5th Cir. 1988).

10 As set forth above, the Reorganized Debtors submit that the Customer No Liability Claims do
11 not comply with the requirements for a proof of claim in that they lack required information or documents
12 necessary to establish *prima facie* evidence of the Claims’ validity. The Reorganized Debtors have been
13 unable to locate any information that would support the Claims’ validity, and therefore deny liability.
14 The Reorganized Debtors therefore submit that the Customer No Liability Claims should be disallowed
15 and expunged in their entirety. If any Claimant believes that a Customer No Liability Claim is valid, it
16 must present affirmative evidence demonstrating the validity of that claim.

17 **V. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

18 The Reorganized Debtors hereby reserve the right to object, as applicable, in the future to any of
19 the Proofs of Claim listed in this Objection on any ground, and to amend, modify, or supplement this
20 Objection to the extent an objection to a claim is not granted, and to file other objections to any proofs
21 of claims filed in these cases, including, without limitation, objections as to the amounts asserted therein,
22 or any other claims (filed or not) against the Debtors, regardless of whether such claims are subject to
23 this Objection. A separate notice and hearing will be scheduled for any such objections. Should the
24 grounds of objection specified herein be overruled or withdrawn, wholly or in part, the Reorganized
25 Debtors reserve the right to object to the Customer No Liability Claims on any other grounds that the
26 Reorganized Debtors may discover or deem appropriate.

27 **VI. NOTICE**

28 Notice of this Objection will be provided to (i) holders of the Customer No Liability Claims; (ii)

1 the Office of the U.S. Trustee for Region 17 (Attn: Andrew R. Vara, Esq. and Timothy Laffredi, Esq.);
2 (iii) counsel to the Creditors Committee; (iv) counsel to Tort Claimants Committee; (v) all counsel and
3 parties receiving electronic notice through the Court's electronic case filing system; and (vi) those
4 persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to
5 Bankruptcy Rule 2002. The Reorganized Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.
6 No previous request for the relief sought herein has been made by the Reorganized Debtors to this or
7 any other Court.

8 WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request entry of an order granting (i) the
9 relief requested herein as a sound exercise of the Reorganized Debtors' business judgment and in the
10 best interests of their estates, creditors, shareholders, and all other parties interests, and (ii) such other
11 and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

12 Dated: November 5, 2020

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

13 By: /s/ Peter J. Benvenutti
14 Peter J. Benvenutti

15 *Attorneys for Debtors and Reorganized Debtors*